\*\*E-filed 5/7/07\*\* MICHAEL W. STEBBINS (State Bar No. 138326) 1 mstebbins@be-law.com 2 BERGESON, LLP 303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500 3 San Jose, CA 95110 Telephone: (408) 291-6200 4 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000 5 JAIPAT SINGH JAIN (New York State Bar No. 3898533) (pro hac vice) 6 jjain@lpgk.com LAZARE POTTER GIACOVAS & KRANJAC LLP 7 950 Third Avenue 8 New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 758-9300 Facsimile: (212) 888-0919 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff TCGIvega Technologies Pvt. Ltd. 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 13 14 TCGIVEGA INFORMATION CASE NO. CV-05-05222 JF/HRL TECHNOLOGIES PVT. LTD., 15 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FURTHER EXTENDING 16 **CERTAIN PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES** Plaintiff, 17 18 KARNA GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; 19 KANNAN R. AYYAR; JNANA R. DASH AKA JNAN DASH; AND GREGORY D. 20 HAWKINS, 21 Defendants. 22 23 24 The parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby agree and stipulate, and jointly 25 request that the Court enter this stipulation as an Order of the Court, as follows: 26 **STIPULATION** 27 Plaintiff served written discovery on Defendants in October 2006. After an 1. 28

2007, only after the Court entered a Protective Order Governing Confidential Information on January 18, 2007.
2. The parties met and conferred about the Defendants' discovery responses throughout January and February 2007. This process culminated in two lengthy telephone calls in early March 2007, wherein Defendants agreed to produce additional documents and supplemental

responses to Plaintiff's interrogatories and document requests within the month. To date, none of

extension of time, Defendants' served responses, consisting almost entirely of objections, on

December 11, 2006. Defendants' first produced certain responsive documents on January 22,

3. In mid-March 2007, the parties ostensibly attempted to reach a settlement. However, those efforts have reached an impasse.

the supplemental responses and only a handful of additional documents have been produced.

- 4. There have been two previous extensions of the pre-trial deadlines: (1) Stipulation and Order re: Non-Expert Discovery, Disclosure of Expert Witness, Expert Discovery and Hearing on Dispositive Motions, entered on November 29, 2007; and (2) Stipulation and Order Extending Pre-Trial Deadlines, entered on February 5, 2007.
- 5. Given the existing pre-trial schedule and prior extensions, Plaintiff has attempted to keep discovery moving. As a condition to forbear from filing a motion to compel depositions and further discovery responses, Plaintiff required that Defendants agree to execute this Stipulation. Plaintiff has also required that Defendants agree to stipulate and/or jointly request that any such motion to compel be heard on shortened time.
- 6. Plaintiff will continue to work diligently to obtain the promised written discovery and take depositions as soon as possible; however, given the existing deadlines, it appears that an additional extension of time is necessary. In an attempt to maintain the present schedule as much as possible, the parties propose a 7 week extension of the discovery cutoff, a 3 week extension to the cutoff for expert discovery and no extension to the date for filing dispositive motions.
- 7. Based on the foregoing, the parties submit that good cause exists to extend the current pre-trial deadlines and therefore stipulate and agree, and request that the Court order the following:

## Case 5:05-cv-05222-JF Document 68 Filed 05/07/07 Page 3 of 5

		the state of the s	•					
1	(a)	The cut-off date for non-expert discovery and disclosure of expert witnesses is						
2	hereby moved	d from June 15, 2007 to August 3, 2007 [or];						
3	(b)	The cut-off date to complete expert discovery is hereby moved from July 20, 2007						
4	to August 24,	, 2007 [or	;					
5	(c) The last day for hearing dispositive motions remains September 28, 2007.							
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.							
7			THE CHUGH FIRM, APC					
8	Dated: May_	, 2007						
9			By: /s/ Antoinette McGill Antoinette McGill, Esq.					
10			Attorneys for Defendants	CI .				
11			JNANA R. DASH, GREGORY D. HAWKINS KARNA GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC., KANNAN R. AYYAR	and				
12	Data de Mare	2007						
13	Dated: May _	, 2007	BERGESON, LLP	٠				
14			By: /s/ Michael W. Stebbins Michael W. Stebbins, Esq.					
15			Attorneys for Plaintiff TCGIVEGA INFORMATION TECHNOLOG	TEC				
16	٠.,		PVT. LTD.	IES				
17	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a							
18	"conformed" signature (/s/) within this efiled document.							
19	Comomica							
20			ORDER					
21	In accordance with the foregoing stipulation of the parties, and with good cause appearing							
22		therefor, the Court enters the Stipulation as an Order of the Court.						
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.							
24								
25	Dated:	5/7, 2007	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SUDG	E				
26			JEREMY FOGEL					
27				٠.				
28								
			3					

STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FURTHER IXTENDING CERTAIN PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES

			•				
1	(a)	The cut-off date for non-expert discovery and disclosure of expert witnesses is					
2	hereby moved	d from June 15, 2007 to August 3, 2007 [or];					
3	(b)	The cut-off date to complete expert discovery is hereby moved from July 20, 2007					
4	to August 24,	, 2007 [or	];	•			
5	(c)	(c) The last day for hearing dispositive motions remains September 28, 2007.					
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.						
7		•	TOTAL CITE OF THE PERSON AND C				
8	Dated: May _	, 2007	THE CHUGH FIRM, APC				
9	,		By Antoinette McGill, Esq.	·			
10			Attorneys for Defendants				
11			JNANA R. DASH, GREGORY D. HAWK KARNA GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC				
12		7	KANNAN R. AYYAR	ı .			
13	Dated: May	<b>5</b> , 2007	BERGESON, LLP	110			
14			By Michael W. Stebbins, Esq.	word			
15		`	Attorneys for Plaintiff	o area			
16			TCGIVEGA INFORMATION TECHNOLO PVT. LTD.	JGIES			
17	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a						
18							
19	"conformed" signature (/s/) within this efiled document.						
20			Oppen				
21	ORDER  In accordance with the foregoing stipulation of the parties, and with good cause appearing therefor, the Court enters the Stipulation as an Order of the Court.						
22							
23							
24	IT IS SO OR	DERED.					
2 <del>4</del>   25	Date J.	2007	TRUMPE OF AMERICAN COLUMN TO	DOE			
	Dated:	, 2007	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUI	JGE			
26							
27		er .					
28							
			3				

STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FURTHER EXTENDING CERTAIN PRE-TRIAL DEADLINES